

Date: Fri, 4 Mar 94 04:30:05 PST
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #235
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Fri, 4 Mar 94 Volume 94 : Issue 235

Today's Topics:

Help: Neighbor's CW interference

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 3 Mar 1994 15:30:15 -0600
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Help: Neighbor's CW interference
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I'm not a radio operator, just trying to get along with one. Need advice on
how to eliminate neighbor's 100 watt 10 meter CW transmissions from my house
intercom speakers. Only thing that works is turning down the volume at each
speaker, then we can't hear the doorbell since it's part of the
intercom/radio. We have put a hi-pass filter on the FM lead, disconnected the
AM lead, soldered .01mf caps across the speaker terminals, and grounded his
antenna to an 8' copper clad rod. Nothing works. I'm betting some of the
ARRL guys on the net have the answer. Any ideas?
Thanks very much!

Sam Watson | watson@lobby.ti.com
Texas Instruments |
Dallas Texas | (214)995-4590

Date: Thu, 3 Mar 1994 19:03:30 GMT

From: news.acns.nwu.edu!math.ohio-state.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!gatech!swrinde!
sgiblab!sgigate.sgi.com!odin!chuck.dallas.sgi.com!adams@network.ucsd.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <CL2uBt.8qr@srgenprp.sr.hp.com>, <CLWrFv.M1o@odin.corp.sgi.com>,
<2l3aqg\$h6o@ivy.bga.com>om
Subject : Re: Copying High-Speed CW: Print or Script?

In article <2l3aqg\$h6o@ivy.bga.com>, davros@bga.com (Buddy Brannan) writes:
|> AAARRRRRRGH!!!!
|>
|> Now why, pray tell, would someone want to write down cw at hi speed?!????
...stuff deleted
|> Head copy! Is it a lost ... h'mm. Well, do people head copy anymore?
|> Besides me, I mean?
|> --
|> Buddy Brannan, KB5ELV | God is love.
|> Riff-Raff #4 | Love is blind.
|> Internet: davros@bga.com | Buddy is blind.
|> davros@ccwf.cc.utexas.edu | Buddy is God.

i still copy "in the head" at high speeds above 45 wpm and mobile cw.
i do like to write everything down below 45 wpm for : a) practice, b) keep
record of qso in case i wanna write some letter/note with qsl card,
and c) not lose the skill. use it or lose it. :-)

dit dit

--

Chuck Adams K5FO CP-60
adams@sgi.com

End of Info-Hams Digest V94 #235

